REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In view of the amendments and remarks herein, favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested. By this Amendment, claim 84 has been amended and is the only pending claim.

Claim 84 has been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsunori. For at least the following reasons, Applicant submits that claim 84, as amended herein, is not anticipated by Mitsunori. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

The Examiner asserts that the LCD driver 60 of Mitsunori is same as the claimed peripheral processing subsystem, and that the connection control unit 64 of Mitsunori is the same as the claimed coprocessor. Claim 84 has been amended herein in a manner that excludes the Examiner's interpretation of these claim elements.

First, the peripheral device of Mitsunori generates data to control another device (such as LCD 30) based on output from CPU 50. This peripheral device does not execute a video game program to process 3D graphic information together with CPU 50, as expressly required by amended claim 84. Further, Mitsunori's device processes 2D graphic information (dot data) and does not process 3D graphic information. Therefore, Mitsunori patent does not have a coprocessor to process 3D graphic information, as claimed.

In addition, the LCD driver 60 of Mitsunori operates to display image data received from the connection control unit 64 on LCD 30. The LCD driver 60, however,

MIYAMOTO et al. Appl. No. 10/052,365 September 15, 2003

does not execute commands related to a player controller and does not send a coprocessor a response to a controller command, as expressly required by amended claim 84.

The LCD driver 60 of Mitsunori is a completely different feature from the claimed peripheral processing subsystem defined in amended claim 84, and the connection control unit 64 of Mitsunori is also completely different from the claimed coprocessor of amended claim 84. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited prior art does not identically disclose each and every feature of the claimed invention, as required for anticipation under Section 102. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Thus, withdrawal of the rejection and passage of this case to issuance at an early date are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this response or deem that any further issues need to be addressed prior to allowance, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

Joseph S. Presta

Reg. No. 35,329

JSP:mg

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100